

Fractional variational calculus for nondifferentiable functions*

Ricardo Almeida
ricardo.almeida@ua.pt

Delfim F. M. Torres
delfim@ua.pt

Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro,
Campus Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

Abstract

We prove necessary optimality conditions, in the class of continuous functions, for variational problems defined with Jumarie's modified Riemann–Liouville derivative. The fractional basic problem of the calculus of variations with free boundary conditions is considered, as well as problems with isoperimetric and holonomic constraints.

Keywords: fractional calculus, Jumarie's modified Riemann–Liouville derivative, natural boundary conditions, isoperimetric problems, holonomic constraints.

1 Introduction

There exists a vast literature on different definitions of fractional derivatives. The most popular ones are the Riemann–Liouville and the Caputo derivatives. Each fractional derivative presents some advantages and disadvantages (see, e.g., [35, 38, 40]). The Riemann–Liouville derivative of a constant is not zero while Caputo's derivative of a constant is zero but demands higher conditions of regularity for differentiability: to compute the fractional derivative of a function in the Caputo sense, we must first calculate its derivative. Caputo derivatives are defined only for differentiable functions while functions that have no first order derivative might have fractional derivatives of all orders less than one in the Riemann–Liouville sense [39].

Recently, Guy Jumarie (see [19–21, 24, 26, 28, 29]) proposed a simple alternative definition to the Riemann–Liouville derivative. His modified Riemann–Liouville derivative has the advantages of both the standard Riemann–Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives: it is defined for arbitrary continuous (nondifferentiable) functions and the fractional derivative of a constant is equal to zero. Here we show that Jumarie's derivative is more advantageous for a general theory of the calculus of variations.

The fractional calculus of variations is a recent research area much in progress. It is being mainly developed for Riemann–Liouville (see, e.g., [1, 6, 7, 11, 17, 37]) and Caputo derivatives (see, e.g., [2, 8, 10, 18, 34, 36]). For more on the calculus of variations, in terms of other fractional derivatives, we refer the reader to [12–15, 30] and references therein.

As pointed out in [9], the fractional calculus of variations in Riemann–Liouville sense, as it is known, has some problems, and results should be used with care. Indeed, in order for the Riemann–Liouville derivatives ${}_a D_x^\alpha y(x)$ and ${}_x D_b^\alpha y(x)$ to be continuous on a closed interval $[a, b]$, the boundary conditions $y(a) = 0$ and $y(b) = 0$ must be satisfied [39]. This is very restrictive when working with variational problems of minimizing or maximizing functionals subject to arbitrarily given boundary conditions, as often done in the calculus of variations (see Proposition 1 and Remark 2 of [7]). With Jumarie's fractional derivative this situation does not occur, and one can consider general boundary conditions $y(a) = y_a$ and $y(b) = y_b$.

*Submitted 13-Aug-2010; revised 24-Nov-2010; accepted 28-March-2011; for publication in *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the assumptions, notations, and the results of the literature needed in the sequel. Section 3 reviews Jumarie's fractional Euler–Lagrange equations [25]. Our contribution is then given in Section 4: in §4.1 we consider the case when no boundary conditions are imposed on the problem, and we prove associated transversality (natural boundary) conditions; optimization with constraints (integral or not) are studied in sections §4.2 and §4.3. Finally, in Section 5 we explain the novelties of our results with respect to previous results in the literature.

2 Preliminaries on Jumarie's Riemann–Liouville derivative

Throughout the text $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and α a real number on the interval $(0, 1)$. Jumarie's modified Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative is defined by

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x (x-t)^{-\alpha} (f(t) - f(0)) dt.$$

If $f(0) = 0$, then $f^{(\alpha)}$ is equal to the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of f of order α . We remark that the fractional derivative of a constant is zero, as desired. Moreover, $f(0) = 0$ is no longer a necessary condition for the fractional derivative of f to be continuous on $[0, 1]$.

The $(dt)^\alpha$ integral of f is defined as follows:

$$\int_0^x f(t)(dt)^\alpha = \alpha \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt.$$

For a motivation of this definition, we refer to [19].

Remark 2.1. *This type of fractional derivative and integral has found applications in some physical phenomena. The definition of the fractional derivative via difference reads*

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\Delta^\alpha f(x)}{h^\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

and obviously this contributes some questions on the sign of h , as it is emphasized by the fractional Rolle's formula $f(x+h) \cong f(x) + h^\alpha f^{(\alpha)}(x)$. In a first approach, in a realm of physics, when h denotes time, then this feature could picture the irreversibility of time. The fractional derivative is quite suitable to describe dynamics evolving in space which exhibit coarse-grained phenomenon. When the point in this space is not infinitely thin but rather a thickness, then it would be better to replace dx by $(dx)^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, where α characterizes the grade of the phenomenon. The fractal feature of the space is transported on time, and so both space and time are fractal. Thus, the increment of time of the dynamics of the system is not dx but $(dx)^\alpha$. For more on the subject see, e.g., [3, 16, 25, 27, 28].

Our results make use of the formula of integration by parts for the $(dx)^\alpha$ integral. This formula follows from the fractional Leibniz rule and the fractional Barrow's formula.

Theorem 2.2 (Fractional Leibniz rule [22]). *If f and g are two continuous functions on $[0, 1]$, then*

$$(f(x)g(x))^{(\alpha)} = (f(x))^{(\alpha)}g(x) + f(x)(g(x))^{(\alpha)}. \quad (1)$$

Kolwankar obtained the same formula (1) by using an approach on Cantor space [31].

Theorem 2.3 (Fractional Barrow's formula [24]). *For a continuous function f , we have*

$$\int_0^x f^{(\alpha)}(t)(dt)^{(\alpha)} = \alpha!(f(x) - f(0)),$$

where $\alpha! = \Gamma(1+\alpha)$.

From Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 we deduce the following formula of integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 u^{(\alpha)}(x)v(x)(dx)^\alpha &= \int_0^1 (u(x)v(x))^{(\alpha)}(dx)^\alpha - \int_0^1 u(x)v^{(\alpha)}(x)(dx)^\alpha \\ &= \alpha! [u(x)v(x)]_0^1 - \int_0^1 u(x)v^{(\alpha)}(x)(dx)^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

It has been proved that the fractional Taylor series holds for nondifferentiable functions. See, for instance, [23]. Another approach is to check that this formula holds for the Mittag–Leffler function, and then to consider functions which can be approximated by the former. The first term of this series is the Rolle’s fractional formula which has been obtained by Kolwankar and Jumarie and provides the equality $d^\alpha x(t) = \alpha! dx(t)$.

It is a simple exercise to verify that the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations is valid for the $(dx)^\alpha$ integral (see, e.g., [41] for a standard proof):

Lemma 2.4. *Let g be a continuous function and assume that*

$$\int_0^1 g(x)h(x)(dx)^\alpha = 0$$

for every continuous function h satisfying $h(0) = h(1) = 0$. Then $g \equiv 0$.

3 Jumarie’s Euler–Lagrange equations

Consider functionals

$$\mathcal{J}(y) = \int_0^1 L\left(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(dx)^\alpha \quad (2)$$

defined on the set of continuous curves $y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ has continuous partial derivatives with respect to the second and third variable. Jumarie has addressed in [25] the basic problem of calculus of variations: to minimize (or maximize) \mathcal{J} , when restricted to the class of continuous curves satisfying prescribed boundary conditions $y(0) = y_0$ and $y(1) = y_1$. Let us denote this problem by (P) . A necessary condition for problem (P) is given by the next result.

Theorem 3.1 (The Jumarie fractional Euler–Lagrange equation [25]—scalar case). *If y is a solution to the basic fractional problem of the calculus of variations (P) , then*

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} = 0 \quad (3)$$

is satisfied along y , for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 3.2. *A curve that satisfies equation (3) for all $x \in [0, 1]$ is said to be an extremal for \mathcal{J} .*

Example 3.3. *Consider the following problem:*

$$\mathcal{J}(y) = \int_0^1 \left[\frac{x^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (y^{(\alpha)})^2 - 2x^\alpha y^{(\alpha)} \right]^2 (dx)^\alpha \longrightarrow \text{extremize}$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$y(0) = 1 \text{ and } y(1) = 2.$$

The Euler–Lagrange equation associated to this problem is

$$-\frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \left(2 \left[\frac{x^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (y^{(\alpha)})^2 - 2x^\alpha y^{(\alpha)} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{2x^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} y^{(\alpha)} - 2x^\alpha \right] \right) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let $y = x^\alpha + 1$. Since $y^{(\alpha)} = \Gamma(\alpha+1)$, it follows that y is a solution of (4). We remark that the extremal curve is not differentiable in $[0, 1]$.

Remark 3.4. When $\alpha = 1$, we obtain the variational functional

$$\mathcal{J}(y) = \int_0^1 [x(y')^2 - 2xy']^2 dx.$$

It is easy to verify that $y = x + 1$ satisfies the (standard) Euler–Lagrange equation.

We now present the Euler–Lagrange equation for functionals containing several dependent variables.

Theorem 3.5 (The Jumarie fractional Euler–Lagrange equation [25]—vector case). *Consider a functional \mathcal{J} , defined on the set of curves satisfying the boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ and $\mathbf{y}(1) = \mathbf{y}_1$, of the form*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}) = \int_0^1 L\left(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right) (dx)^\alpha,$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $\mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)} = (y_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, y_n^{(\alpha)})$, and y_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, are continuous real valued functions defined on $[0, 1]$. Let \mathbf{y} be an extremizer of \mathcal{J} . Then,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_k}\left(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right) - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_k^{(\alpha)}}\left(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right) = 0,$$

$k = 1, \dots, n$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

4 Main results

We give new necessary optimality conditions for: (i) functionals of form (2) with free boundary conditions (Theorem 4.1); (ii) fractional isoperimetric problems of Jumarie (Theorem 4.3); and (iii) Jumarie-type problems with subsidiary holonomic constraints (Theorems 4.4 and 4.5).

4.1 Natural boundary conditions

The problem is stated as follows. Given a functional

$$\mathcal{J}(y) = \int_0^1 L\left(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)\right) (dx)^\alpha,$$

where the Lagrangian $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ has continuous partial derivatives with respect to the second and third variables, determine continuous curves $y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that \mathcal{J} has an extremum at y . Note that no boundary conditions are now imposed.

Theorem 4.1. *Let y be an extremizer for \mathcal{J} . Then y satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equation*

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} = 0 \tag{5}$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and the natural boundary conditions

$$\left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \right|_{x=0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \right|_{x=1} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Let h be any continuous curve and let $j(\epsilon) = \mathcal{J}(y + \epsilon h)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \cdot h(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \cdot h^{(\alpha)}(x) \right) (dx)^\alpha \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \right) \cdot h(x) (dx)^\alpha + \alpha! \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} h(x) \right]_{x=0}^{x=1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose curves such that $h(0) = h(1) = 0$, we deduce by Lemma 2.4 the Euler–Lagrange equation (5). Then condition

$$\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} h(x) \right]_{x=0}^{x=1} = 0$$

must be verified. Picking curves such that $h(0) = 0$ and $h(1) \neq 0$, and others such that $h(1) = 0$ and $h(0) \neq 0$, we deduce the natural boundary conditions (6). \square

If one of the endpoints is specified, say $y(0) = y_0$, then the necessary conditions become

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} = 0$$

and

$$\left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \right|_{x=1} = 0.$$

Example 4.2. Let \mathcal{J} be given by the expression

$$\mathcal{J}(y) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 + y^{(\alpha)}(x)^2} (dx)^\alpha.$$

The Euler–Lagrange equation associated to this problem is

$$\frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{y^{(\alpha)}(x)}{\sqrt{1 + y^{(\alpha)}(x)^2}} = 0$$

and the natural boundary conditions are

$$\left. \frac{y^{(\alpha)}(x)}{\sqrt{1 + y^{(\alpha)}(x)^2}} \right|_{x=1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{y^{(\alpha)}(x)}{\sqrt{1 + y^{(\alpha)}(x)^2}} \right|_{x=0} = 0.$$

Since $y^{(\alpha)} = 0$ if y is a constant function, we have that any constant curve is a solution to this problem.

4.2 The isoperimetric problem

The study of isoperimetric problems is an important area inside the calculus of variations. One wants to find the extremizers of a given functional, when restricted to a prescribed integral constraint. Problems of this type have found many applications in differential geometry, discrete and convex geometry, probability, Banach space theory, and multiobjective optimization (see [4, 5, 33] and references therein). We introduce the isoperimetric fractional problem as follows: to maximize or minimize the functional

$$\mathcal{J}(y) = \int_0^1 L(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)) (dx)^\alpha$$

when restricted to the conditions

$$\mathcal{G}(y) = \int_0^1 f(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)) (dx)^\alpha = K, \quad K \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$y(0) = y_0 \text{ and } y(1) = y_1. \quad (8)$$

Similarly as before, we assume that $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ have continuous partial derivatives with respect to the second and third variables.

Theorem 4.3. *Let y be an extremizer of \mathcal{J} restricted to the set of curves that satisfy conditions (7) and (8). If y is not an extremal for \mathcal{G} , then there exists a constant λ such that the curve y satisfies the equation*

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} = 0$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$, where $F = L - \lambda f$.

Proof. Consider a variation curve of y with two parameters, say $y(x) + \epsilon_1 h_1(x) + \epsilon_2 h_2(x)$, where h_1 and h_2 are two continuous curves satisfying $h_i(0) = h_i(1) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Consider now two new functions defined in an open neighborhood of zero:

$$j(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \mathcal{J}(y + \epsilon_1 h_1 + \epsilon_2 h_2) \text{ and } g(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \mathcal{G}(y + \epsilon_1 h_1 + \epsilon_2 h_2) - K.$$

Thus, $g(0, 0) = 0$ and

$$\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \epsilon_2} \right|_{(0,0)} = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \right) \cdot h_2(x) (dx)^\alpha.$$

Since y is not an extremal for \mathcal{G} , there must exist some curve h_2 such that $\partial g / \partial \epsilon_2(0, 0) \neq 0$. By the implicit function theorem we may write $g(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2(\epsilon_1)) = 0$ for some function $\epsilon_2(\cdot)$ defined in an open neighborhood of zero. Because $(0, 0)$ is an extremum of j subject to the constraint $g(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = 0$, and $\nabla g(0, 0) \neq 0$, by the Lagrange multiplier rule there exists some real λ verifying the equation

$$\nabla(j - \lambda g)(0, 0) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_1} (j - \lambda g) \right|_{(0,0)} \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} - \lambda \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \right) \right] \cdot h_1(x) (dx)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of h_1 and by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} - \lambda \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \right) = 0.$$

Introducing $F = L - \lambda f$ we deduce that y satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} = 0$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$. □

4.3 Holonomic constraints

In §4.2 the subsidiary conditions that the functions must satisfy are given by integral functionals. We now consider a different type of problem: find functions y_1 and y_2 for which the functional

$$\mathcal{J}(y_1, y_2) = \int_0^1 L \left(x, y_1(x), y_2(x), y_1^{(\alpha)}(x), y_2^{(\alpha)}(x) \right) (dx)^\alpha \quad (9)$$

has an extremum, where the admissible functions satisfy the boundary conditions

$$(y_1(0), y_2(0)) = (y_1^0, y_2^0) \text{ and } (y_1(1), y_2(1)) = (y_1^1, y_2^1), \quad (10)$$

and the subsidiary holonomic condition

$$g(x, y_1(x), y_2(x)) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Theorem 4.4. *Given a functional \mathcal{J} as in (9), defined on the set of curves that satisfy the boundary conditions (10) and lie on the surface (11), let (y_1, y_2) be an extremizer for \mathcal{J} . If $\partial g / \partial y_2 \neq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, then there exists a continuous function $\lambda(x)$ such that (y_1, y_2) satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equations*

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_k} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_k^{(\alpha)}} = 0, \quad (12)$$

$k = 1, 2$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$, where $F = L - \lambda g$.

Proof. Let $y = (y_1, y_2)$, ϵ be a real, and $(\hat{y}_1(x), \hat{y}_2(x)) = y(x) + \epsilon h(x)$ with $h(x) = (h_1(x), h_2(x))$ a continuous curve such that $h(0) = h(1) = (0, 0)$. By the implicit function theorem it is possible to solve the equation $g(x, \hat{y}_1(x), \hat{y}_2(x)) = 0$ for h_2 , i.e., to write $h_2 = h_2(\epsilon, h_1)$. Let $j(\epsilon) = \mathcal{J}(\hat{y}_1(x), \hat{y}_2(x))$. Then $j'(0) = 0$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1} h_1(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1^{(\alpha)}} h_1^{(\alpha)}(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_2} h_2(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_2^{(\alpha)}} h_2^{(\alpha)}(x) \right) (dx)^\alpha. \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1^{(\alpha)}} \right) h_1(x) + \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_2} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_2^{(\alpha)}} \right) h_2(x) \right) (dx)^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, we required that $(\hat{y}_1(x), \hat{y}_2(x))$ satisfy the condition (11). This means that $g(x, \hat{y}_1(x), \hat{y}_2(x)) = 0$, and so it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} g(x, \hat{y}_1(x), \hat{y}_2(x)) \right|_{\epsilon=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1} h_1(x) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_2} h_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may write h_2 in the form

$$h_2(x) = - \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1}}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_2}} h_1(x).$$

Define λ as follows:

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_2} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_2^{(\alpha)}}}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_2}}. \quad (14)$$

Then, we can rewrite equation (13) as

$$0 = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1^{(\alpha)}} - \lambda(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1} \right) h_1(x) (dx)^\alpha.$$

By Lemma 2.4, and since h_1 is an arbitrary curve, we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1^{(\alpha)}} - \lambda(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Let $F = L - \lambda g$. Combining equations (14) and (15) we obtain formula (12). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

We now state (without proof) our previous result in its general form.

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{J} be given by*

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{y}) = \int_0^1 L(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)) (dx)^\alpha,$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)} = (y_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, y_n^{(\alpha)})$, such that y_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, are continuous functions defined on the set of curves that satisfy the boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ and $\mathbf{y}(1) = \mathbf{y}_1$ and satisfy the constraint $g(x, \mathbf{y}) = 0$. If \mathbf{y} is an extremizer for \mathcal{J} , and if $\partial g / \partial y_n \neq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, then there exists a continuous function $\lambda(x)$ such that \mathbf{y} satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_k} - \frac{d^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_k^{(\alpha)}} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$, where $F = L - \lambda g$.

5 Comparison with previous results in the literature

The fractional variational calculus, dealing with Jumarie’s modified Riemann–Liouville derivative, is still at the very beginning. Results available in the literature reduce to those in [25] (published in 2009) and [3, 32] (published in 2010). In [25] the basic problem of the calculus of variations with Jumarie’s Riemann–Liouville derivative is stated for the first time. The fractional Euler–Lagrange equation is obtained under the presence of external forces, and the implication of Jumarie’s fractional calculus in physical situations discussed in detail, in particular Lagrangian mechanics of fractional order. A fractional theory of the calculus of variations for multiple integrals, in the sense of Jumarie, is proposed in [3]: fractional versions of the theorems of Green and Gauss, multi-time fractional Euler–Lagrange equations, and fractional natural boundary conditions are proved. As an application, the fractional equation of motion of a vibrating string is investigated [3]. In [32], Euler–Lagrange necessary optimality conditions for fractional problems of the calculus of variations which are given by a composition of functionals, within Jumarie’s fractional calculus, are proved. Optimality conditions for the product and the quotient of Jumarie’s fractional variational functionals are obtained as particular cases [32].

In the present paper we develop further the theory initiated by Jumarie in 2009 [25], by considering other fundamental problems of variational type than those treated before in [3, 25, 32]. First we consider the general form of optimality, when we do not impose constraints on the boundaries, i.e., when $y(0)$ and/or $y(1)$ are free (Section 4.1). If $y(0)$ is not specified, then the transversality condition $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}(0, y(0), y^{(\alpha)}(0)) = 0$ complements Jumarie’s Euler–Lagrange equation; if $y(1)$ is not specified, then the transversality condition $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}(1, y(1), y^{(\alpha)}(1)) = 0$ holds together with Jumarie’s Euler–Lagrange equation. We then study fractional variational problems via Jumarie’s modified Riemann–Liouville derivative under the presence of certain constraints, thus limiting the space of continuous functions in which we search the extremizers. Two types of problems were considered here for the first time in the literature: fractional isoperimetric problems where the constraint also contains Jumarie’s modified Riemann–Liouville derivative (Section 4.2); and variational problems of Jumarie type subject to holonomic constraints (Section 4.3). The results of the paper are valid for extremizers which are not differentiable.

As future work, we plan to study nondifferentiable fractional variational problems under the presence of nonholonomic constraints, which depend on Jumarie’s modified Riemann–Liouville derivative, and possible applications in physics and economics.

Acknowledgments

Work supported by the *Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications* (CIDMA) from the “Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia” (FCT), cofinanced by the European

Community Fund FEDER/POCI 2010. The authors are very grateful to two referees for valuable remarks and comments, which improved the quality of the paper.

References

- [1] O. P. Agrawal, Formulation of Euler-Lagrange equations for fractional variational problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **272** (2002), no. 1, 368–379.
- [2] O. P. Agrawal, Generalized Euler-Lagrange equations and transversality conditions for FVPs in terms of the Caputo derivative, *J. Vib. Control* **13** (2007), no. 9-10, 1217–1237.
- [3] R. Almeida, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, A fractional calculus of variations for multiple integrals with application to vibrating string, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010) 033503, 12pp. [arXiv:1001.2722](#)
- [4] R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Hölderian variational problems subject to integral constraints, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **359** (2009), no. 2, 674–681. [arXiv:0807.3076](#)
- [5] R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Isoperimetric problems on time scales with nabla derivatives, *J. Vib. Control* **15** (2009), no. 6, 951–958. [arXiv:0811.3650](#)
- [6] R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Calculus of variations with fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), no. 12, 1816–1820. [arXiv:0907.1024](#)
- [7] R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Leitmann’s direct method for fractional optimization problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217** (2010), no. 3, 956–962. [arXiv:1003.3088](#)
- [8] R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the fractional calculus of variations with Caputo derivatives, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **16** (2011), no. 3, 1490–1500. [arXiv:1007.2937](#)
- [9] T. M. Atanacković, S. Konjik and S. Pilipović, Variational problems with fractional derivatives: Euler-Lagrange equations, *J. Phys. A* **41** (2008), no. 9, 095201, 12 pp.
- [10] D. Baleanu and Om. P. Agrawal, Fractional Hamilton formalism within Caputo’s derivative, *Czechoslovak J. Phys.* **56** (2006), no. 10-11, 1087–1092.
- [11] D. Baleanu and S. I. Muslih, Lagrangian formulation of classical fields within Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, *Phys. Scripta* **72** (2005), no. 2-3, 119–121.
- [12] N. R. O. Bastos, R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Necessary optimality conditions for fractional difference problems of the calculus of variations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **29** (2011), no. 2, 417–437. [arXiv:1007.0594](#)
- [13] N. R. O. Bastos, R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Discrete-time fractional variational problems, *Signal Process.* **91** (2011), no. 3, 513–524. [arXiv:1005.0252](#)
- [14] J. Cresson, Fractional embedding of differential operators and Lagrangian systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007), no. 3, 033504, 34 pp.
- [15] R. A. El-Nabulsi and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional actionlike variational problems, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008), no. 5, 053521, 7 pp. [arXiv:0804.4500](#)
- [16] D. Filatova, M. Grzywaczewski and N. Osmolovskii, Optimal control problem with an integral equation as the control object *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010), no. 3-4, 1235–1246.
- [17] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional conservation laws in optimal control theory, *Nonlinear Dynam.* **53** (2008), no. 3, 215–222. [arXiv:0711.0609](#)
- [18] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional Noether’s theorem in the Riesz-Caputo sense, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **217** (2010), no. 3, 1023–1033. [arXiv:1001.4507](#)
- [19] G. Jumarie, On the representation of fractional Brownian motion as an integral with respect to $(dt)^a$, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18** (2005), no. 7, 739–748.
- [20] G. Jumarie, Modified Riemann-Liouville derivative and fractional Taylor series of nondifferentiable functions further results, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **51** (2006), no. 9-10, 1367–1376.
- [21] G. Jumarie, Fractional partial differential equations and modified Riemann-Liouville derivative new methods for solution, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **24** (2007), no. 1-2, 31–48.
- [22] G. Jumarie, Stock exchange fractional dynamics defined as fractional exponential growth driven by (usual) Gaussian white noise. Application to fractional Black-Scholes equations, *Insurance Math. Econom.* **42** (2008), no. 1, 271–287.

- [23] G. Jumarie, Modeling fractional stochastic systems as non-random fractional dynamics driven by Brownian motions, *Appl. Math. Model.* **32** (2008), no. 5, 836–859.
- [24] G. Jumarie, Table of some basic fractional calculus formulae derived from a modified Riemann-Liouville derivative for non-differentiable functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), no. 3, 378–385.
- [25] G. Jumarie, From Lagrangian mechanics fractal in space to space fractal Schrödinger’s equation via fractional Taylor’s series, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **41** (2009), no. 4, 1590–1604.
- [26] G. Jumarie, Laplace’s transform of fractional order via the Mittag-Leffler function and modified Riemann-Liouville derivative, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), no. 11, 1659–1664.
- [27] G. Jumarie, An approach via fractional analysis to non-linearity induced by coarse-graining in space, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **11** (2010), no. 1, 535–546.
- [28] G. Jumarie, Analysis of the equilibrium positions of nonlinear dynamical systems in the presence of coarse-graining disturbance in space, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **32** (2010), no. 2, 329–351.
- [29] G. Jumarie, Cauchy’s integral formula via modified Riemann-Liouville derivative for analytic functions of fractional order, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (2010), no. 12, 1444–1450.
- [30] M. Klimek, Lagrangian fractional mechanics—a noncommutative approach, *Czechoslovak J. Phys.* **55** (2005), no. 11, 1447–1453.
- [31] K. M. Kolwankar, Decomposition of Lebesgue-Cantor devil’s staircase, *Fractals* **12** (2004), no. 4, 375–380.
- [32] A. B. Malinowska, M. R. Sidi Ammi and D. F. M. Torres, Composition functionals in fractional calculus of variations, *Commun. Frac. Calc.* **1** (2010), no. 1, 32–40. [arXiv:1009.2671](#)
- [33] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Necessary and sufficient conditions for local Pareto optimality on time scales, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **161** (2009), no. 6, 803–810. [arXiv:0801.2123](#)
- [34] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Generalized natural boundary conditions for fractional variational problems in terms of the Caputo derivative, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), no. 9, 3110–3116. [arXiv:1002.3790](#)
- [35] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [36] D. Mozyrska and D. F. M. Torres, Minimal modified energy control for fractional linear control systems with the Caputo derivative, *Carpathian J. Math.* **26** (2010), no. 2, 210–221. [arXiv:1004.3113](#)
- [37] D. Mozyrska and D. F. M. Torres, Modified optimal energy and initial memory of fractional continuous-time linear systems, *Signal Process.* **91** (2011), no. 3, 379–385. [arXiv:1007.3946](#)
- [38] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [39] B. Ross, S. G. Samko and E. R. Love, Functions that have no first order derivative might have fractional derivatives of all orders less than one, *Real Anal. Exchange* **20** (1994/95), no. 1, 140–157.
- [40] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives*, Translated from the 1987 Russian original, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [41] B. van Brunt, *The calculus of variations*, Springer, New York, 2004.